


UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---



Ex parte SHENG-CHI CHEN and SHY-JAY LIN

---

Application 09/310,256

---

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

---

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (hereinafter the "Board") on October 18, 2006. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below:

A review of the file indicates that on June 26, 2003, the Board mailed an Order Returning Undocketed Appeal to the Examiner wherein the Board ordered the examiner to obtain clarification from appellants as to which "marked up" version of claims they intended to file. After a series of communications from both the appellants and the examiner, on November 24, 2004, appellants filed an

Application No. 10/685,643

amendment identifying the correct claims on appeal. In addition, on January 6, 2005, appellants filed a Supplemental Appeal Brief under the rules set forth in 37 CFR § 1.192(c). However, the rules under 35 U.S.C. § 1.192(c) were abolished on September 13, 2004, and replaced by 37 CFR § 41.37(c).

Accordingly, the Appeal Brief filed on July 7, 2005 does not comply with the new rules under 37 CFR § 41.37(c).

37 CFR § 41.37(c) states:

(c)(1) The brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings and in the order indicated in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(x) of this section, except that a brief filed by an appellant who is not represented by a registered practitioner need only substantially comply with paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(iv) and (c)(1)(vii) through (c)(1)(x) of this section:

....

(v) *Summary of claimed subject matter.* A concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, which shall refer to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. For each claim involved in the appeal, every means plus function and step plus function as permitted by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, must be identified and the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function must be set forth with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters.

(vi) *Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.* A concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review.

(vii) *Argument.* The contentions of appellant with respect to each ground of rejection presented for review in paragraph (c)(1)(vi) of this section, and the basis therefor, with citations of the statutes, regulations, authorities, and parts of the record relied on. Any arguments or authorities not included in the brief or a reply brief filed pursuant to § 41.41 will be refused consideration by the Board, unless good cause is shown. Each ground of rejection must be treated under a separate heading. For each ground of rejection applying to two or more claims, the claims may be argued separately or as a group. When multiple claims subject to the same ground of rejection are argued as a group by appellant, the Board may select a single claim from the group of claims that are argued together to decide the appeal with respect to the group of claims as to the ground of rejection on the basis of the selected claim alone.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, the failure of appellant to separately argue claims which appellant has grouped together shall constitute a waiver of any argument that the Board must consider the patentability of any grouped claim separately. Any claim argued separately should be placed under a subheading identifying the claim by number. Claims argued as a group should be placed under a subheading identifying the claims by number. A statement which merely points out what a claim recites will not be considered an argument for patentability of the claim.

(viii) *Claims appendix.* An appendix containing a copy of the claims involved in the appeal.

(ix) *Evidence appendix.* An appendix containing copies of any evidence submitted pursuant to §§ 1.130, 1.131, 1.132 of this title or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered in the record by the examiner. Reference to unentered evidence is not permitted in the brief. See § 41.33 for treatment of evidence submitted after appeal. This

appendix may also include copies of the evidence relied upon by the examiner as to grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.

(x) *Related proceedings appendix*. An appendix containing copies of decisions rendered by a court or the Board in any proceeding identified pursuant to paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section.

(2) A brief shall not include any new or non-admitted amendment, or any new or non-admitted affidavit or other evidence. See § 1.116 of this title for amendments, affidavits or other evidence filed after final action but before or with any appeal and § 41.33 for amendments, affidavits or other evidence filed after the date of the appeal.

(d) If a brief is filed which does not comply with all the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section, appellant will be notified of the reasons for non-compliance and given a time period within which to file an amended brief. If appellant does not file an amended brief within the set time period, or files an amended brief which does not overcome all the reasons for non-compliance stated in the notification, the appeal will stand dismissed.

A review of the application reveals that the following sections are missing from the Supplemental Appeal Brief filed on January 6, 2005:

(1) "Summary of claimed subject matter" as set forth in 37 CFR

§ 41.37(c)(1)(v);

(2) "Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal" as set forth in 37 CFR

§ 41.37(c)(1)(vi);

(3) "Evidence appendix," as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(ix); and

(4) "Related proceedings appendix," as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(x).

It is required that appellants submit a substitute Appeal Brief that is in

Application No. 10/685,643

compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37(c). For more information on the Board's new rules, please see the web page entitled "More Information on the Rules of Practice Before the BPAI," Final Rule at:

<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/fr2004/moreinfo.html>.

Moreover, in appellants' amendment filed on November 24, 2004, counsel for appellants states on page 9 of the amendment that "[a] formal revocation of power of attorney should be submitted shortly." A review of the record reveals that counsel has not yet provided a "formal revocation of power of attorney."

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the examiner to:

(1) hold the Appeal Brief filed on November 22, 2005 defective;  
(2) notify appellants to file a substitute Appeal Brief in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37;

(3) to consider appellants' substitute Appeal Brief, and to vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed on December 28, 2005, if required, to respond to appellants' substitute Appeal Brief;

Application No. 10/685,643

(4) to notify counsel for appellants to submit a “formal revocation of power of attorney;” and

(5) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

By: G.P. Edgite per Dale Shaw  
DALE M. SHAW  
Program and Resource Administrator  
(571) 272-9797

DMS:clj

cc: Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, LLP  
100 Galleria Pkwy, NW  
Suite 1750  
Atlanta, GA 30339